Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)  WHATMORE ET AL.	
	10/031,239		
	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>
	Kenneth B. Wells	2816	•
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, P	TO personnel):		
(1) <u>Kenneth B. Wells</u> .	(3)	-	
(2) <u>Dan Tanner</u> .	(4)	îva	
Date of Interview: 20 May 2004.		•	•
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representati	ve]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>all</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Nagai.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g) was not reached. h)	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the gene reached, or any other comments: it was agreed that the recitation that all of the FBARs are disposed on the one USC 102.	proposed amendment to eleima	· 4 - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attach	) CODV Of the amendments that ,	greed would render th would render the clain	e claims ns
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to to GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OFORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMEN Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse	ne last Office action has already R THE MAILING DATE OF TH	y been filed, APPLICA	NT IS
	•	•	. •
			•
	· 		٠.
		,	
	•		• ,

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required